WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	,	

		V.		ORDI	ER OF DETENTION	I PENDING I RIAL			
	N	Mario Garcia-Tirado	_ Case Nu	ımber:	08-533M				
presen	t and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.s represented by counsel. I conclude defendant pending trial in this cas	e by a preponderance of						
I find b	v a nreno	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FAC	СТ					
Tillia b		The defendant is not a citizen of the	ne United States or law	fully adr	mitted for nermanen	t residence			
				ed offense, was in the United States illegally.					
		If released herein, the defendar	ne defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported						
		The defendant has no significant of	ontacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.						
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.							
	X	The defendant has a prior crimina	r criminal history.						
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.							
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.							
		There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as orde	ered.					
		The defendant attempted to evade	e law enforcement conta	act by fl	eeing from law enfo	rcement.			
		The defendant is facing a maximu	m of	у	ears imprisonment.				
at the t	The Co ime of th	urt incorporates by reference the me hearing in this matter, except as	aterial findings of the Pr noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF I		ervices Agency whic	h were reviewed by the Cour			
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the def No condition or combination of con DIRECT	nditions will reasonably TIONS REGARDING I	DETEN.	TION				
appeal of the U	ctions fac . The de Jnited St	fendant is committed to the custody cility separate, to the extent practica fendant shall be afforded a reasona ates or on request of an attorney fo e United States Marshal for the pur	ble, from persons await ble opportunity for priva the Government, the p	ing or se ate cons person ir a in conr	erving sentences or ultation with defense n charge of the corre nection with a court	being held in custody pending e counsel. On order of a cour ections facility shall deliver the			
deliver Court.	IT IS OI a copy o	RDERED that should an appeal of the motion for review/reconsiderate	his detention order be f	iled with	n the District Court, i	it is counsel's responsibility to hearing set before the Distric			
Service	es suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a releas ently in advance of the hearing be potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be ore the District Court to	e consid o allow	lered, it is counsel's Pretrial Services ar	responsibility to notify Pretria opportunity to interview and			
	DATE	ED this 16 th day of January,	2009.						
				-					

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge